

**PREPARED BY THE COURT**

---

PATRICK DUFF,	:	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
	:	ATLANTIC COUNTY – LAW DIVISION
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
STOCKTON UNIVERSITY, ET AL.,	:	DOCKET NO: ATL-L-2783-20
	:	
Defendants.	:	<b>ORDER</b>
	:	

---

**THIS MATTER** having come before the court on amotion to dismiss by Laurie Fichera, Deputy Attorney General on behalf of Defendants, and the court having considered the Verified Complaint filed by Plaintiff, the motion papers, the opposition papers, and the arguments of counsel, and having conducted in camera review of documents at issue;

IT IS on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of APRIL, 2023 **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the Defendants’ motion to dismiss is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment shall enter in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants as to Count One of the Verified Complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within thirty (30) days of the date of this order, Defendants shall produce to Plaintiff the full or partially unredacted documents and attachments identified in the spreadsheets attached hereto as Exhibits A and B and as indicated in the highlighted digital copies that are being supplied to defense counsel only.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Count Two of the Verified Complaint is dismissed as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that order shall be deemed served on all counsel of record via filing in e-courts.

*Sarah Beth Johnson*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 SARAH BETH JOHNSON, J.S.C.

  x   Opposed  
       Unopposed



**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY**

SARAH BETH JOHNSON, J.S.C.

1201 Bacharach Boulevard  
Atlantic City, NJ 08401-4527  
(609) 402.0100 ext. 47870

**MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION**  
**Pursuant to Rule 1:6-2(f)**

<p><b>TO:</b> Michael J. Zoller, Esq. PASHMAN STEIN WALDER HAYDEN Attorneys for Plaintiff</p>	<p>Laurie Fichera, Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants</p>
---	---

**RE:** Duff v. Stockton University **DOCKET NO.** ATL-L-2783-20

---

This matter comes before the court by way of an order to show cause and verified complaint alleging that Defendants violated New Jersey's Open Public Records Act, N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1 to -13 ("OPRA") and the common law right of access.

Specifically, Plaintiff challenged the Defendants' production of redacted emails in response to two separate record requests, asserting that the redactions were excessive and unlawful and seeking release of the emails with the unlawful redactions removed. Alternatively, Plaintiff sought in camera review of the redacted emails and an order requiring Defendants to release the records in more narrowly redacted format.

In response, Defendants moved to dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint, asserting that the redactions were appropriate under OPRA. Defendants submit *inter alia* that the redacted information constitutes advisory, consultative, and deliberative materials, as well as pedagogical, scholarly, and academic research records, which are exempt from disclosure under the law.

**Factual & Procedural Background**

Plaintiff, Patrick Duff, holds himself out as a journalist, community organizer, and activist for various issues, including the preservation of a house located at 753 Walnut Street in Camden, New Jersey ("Walnut St. House") where Plaintiff asserts Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. planned one of his first civil rights protests. In March 2015, Plaintiff began the process of trying to preserve the Walnut St. House by applying with the local and state historic preservation bodies to have the home placed on the historic registry.

In August 2016, the Camden Historic Preservation Commission ("Camden HPC") issued a request for proposals for an investigation into the research Plaintiff provided in support of the application to register the Walnut St. House as a historic site.

In November 2016, Defendant Stockton University submitted the only proposal to perform the research project. Assistant Director of the South Jersey Culture and History Center, Paul W. Schopp, was designed as one of the researchers tasked with determining the significance of the Walnut St. House and Dr. King's experiences while staying there. As Mr. Schopp was also the chief historian on the Camden HPC, Plaintiff objected to Mr. Schopp's participation in the project as a conflict of interest, and Mr. Schopp purportedly withdrew from the project.

On August 21, 2017, Stockton University submitted its preliminary report to the New Jersey Historic Preservation Office ("NJ HPO"). On November 30, 2017, Stockton produced its final report, finding the Walnut St. House historically insignificant.

Plaintiff reviewed the final report, and Plaintiff found what he believed to be deficiencies, including the absence of an interview with a woman who lived in the Walnut St. House at the time Dr. King was there. Plaintiff communicated this to individuals at Stockton, and they advised they had attempted to contact the woman but were unable to reach her. Thereafter, Plaintiff contacted and interviewed the woman.

On December 12, 2017, Stockton produced a revised report, which still concluded the Walnut St. House had "minimal historic import."

On January 31, 2020, the NJHPO denied the Plaintiff's application to place the Walnut St. House on the State's Historic Registry, citing Stockton's report.

Prior to the NJHPO's determination, Plaintiff submitted a records request to Defendants dated January 8, 2020. Plaintiff sought:

Email communications to and from the following employees with the following queries from December 1st 2017 through January 8th 2020.

Employee: John O'Hare, Paul Schopp, Sharron Musher, Michelle McDonald, Tom Kinsella, Harvey Kesselman, Brian Kowalski, Ellen D. Bailey, Brittany Medio

Queries: "Patrick Duff," "Mr. Duff," "753 Walnut," "MLK House," "Martin Luther King," "MLK," "Maple Shade," "Camden"

On January 9, 2020, Defendants sent correspondence acknowledging the Plaintiff's request and seeking clarification regarding the records sought. Plaintiff responded that day indicating he was "seeking email communications from the employees listed with the queries mentioned."

On January 13, 2020, Defendants sought clarification of the Plaintiff's use of the term "queries." On January 15, 2020, Plaintiff indicated he wanted Defendants "to search the emails of the employees mentioned for those terms."

On January 16, 2020, Defendants acknowledged receipt of the Plaintiff's request and indicated they would respond by January 28. On January 28, 2020, Defendants requested an extension until February 10 to respond.

On February 10, 2020, Defendants informed Plaintiff that the search for emails responsive to the Plaintiff's request returned over 5,000 emails requiring additional review. Defendants determined the Plaintiff's request was overly broad and substantially disruptive and asked that Plaintiff narrow the scope of the request, i.e. "identify the specific records you are seeking including the specific recipients whose records are to be searched, a discrete and limited subject matter, and a specific timeframe." In response, Plaintiff agreed to limit the topics of the responsive emails he was seeking to three topics: "Patrick Duff," "MLK House," and "753 Walnut."

On February 12, 2020, Defendants acknowledged the narrowed request and indicated they would respond by March 12. Thereafter, Defendants sought 8 additional extensions to respond, finally producing on July 6, 2020 400+ pages of redacted emails with a privilege log, also known as a "Vaughn index" (from the decision in Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820, 826-828 (D.C. Cir. 1973)).

While the Defendants' response to the January 8, 2020 request was pending, Plaintiff submitted a second request for records on March 4, 2020. In that request, Plaintiff sought "Email communications to and from Stockton employee Paul Schopp's email address pwschopp@comcast.net regarding the following terms [Patrick Duff, 753 Walnut, Martin Luther King, Maple Shade, Hunt family] from 1-1-2015 through 3-3-2020."

On March 5, 2020, Defendants acknowledged the Plaintiff's second request and indicated they would respond by March 16, 2020. As with the first request, Defendants sought 8 extensions to respond, ultimately producing 650+ pages of redacted emails with a second Vaughn index on July 10, 2020.

On August 20, 2020, Plaintiff filed an Order to Show Cause and Verified Complaint asserting that the Defendants' responses violated statutory and common law. On September 3, 2020, the court entered an order setting a briefing schedule for the Defendants' opposition and the Plaintiff's reply with a return date of October 2, 2020.

Defendants sought and were granted multiple adjournments and filed a motion to dismiss in opposition to the Plaintiff's application on October 28, 2020. Plaintiff filed a response on November 9, 2020.

This summary action was filed pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6, R. 4:67-5, and the common law right of access. Count One of the Verified Complaint seeks a declaration that Defendants violated OPRA when they provided "blanket redactions" of emails in response to the Plaintiff's January and March 2020 records requests. Count Two pleads a common law right of access claim to the same records.

In moving to dismiss the matter, Defendants submit they have properly redacted and denied access to the documents sought by the Plaintiff's OPRA requests.

Oral argument on the motion to dismiss was originally held on December 15, 2020 before the Hon. James P. Savio, J.S.C. On December 17, 2020, Judge Savio entered an order requiring Defendants to submit all responsive emails for in camera review by February 1, 2021. The order

also required Defendants to produce detailed Vaughn indexes and set a conference for February 12, 2021.

It appears Defendants delivered paper copies of the emails to the court on February 3, 2021. However, it does not appear the conference occurred as scheduled.

In March 2022, Judge Savio retired, and the matter was reassigned to this court, who requested resubmission of the emails as the prior copies could not be located after the transition. Hard copies were delivered on or about April 1, 2022.

After conducting an initial review of the 2 sets of 1000+ pages provided by Defendants, the court held a case management conference on May 24, 2022 during which it was noted that Defendants had not included the various attachments to the emails. The court indicated that it could not conduct a complete in camera review without the attachments and requested that Defendants provide copies of same with updated Vaughn indexes, as well as digital copies of the redacted emails.

The attachments were provided on or about June 10, 2022, and the court received the additional Vaughn indexes on June 29, 2022. The court again attempted to conduct in camera review of the Defendants' responses but found that the digital copies of the document production were unsuitable for such a task.

The court requested Defendants supply alternative digital versions of the document production, which the court received in late November 2022. Thereafter, the court was finally able to conduct a full and complete in camera review of the Defendants' responses and the various privileges and exemptions asserted by Defendants, as set forth in the Vaughn indexes.

From its review, the court has concluded that the Defendants' redactions include non-exempt communications, which should have been produced in response to the Plaintiff's requests. The court has noted its conclusions in a "determination" column added to the Defendants' Vaughn indexes, and it has highlighted in yellow the portions of the digital versions of the document production that shall be unredacted and produced to Plaintiff.

The court has reached this conclusion for the following reasons:

#### **Applicable Standards**

OPRA manifests New Jersey's public policy of transparency in government. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1 ("[G]overnment records shall be readily accessible for inspection, copying, or examination by the citizens of this State, with certain exceptions, for the protection of the public interest, and any limitations on the right of access ... shall be construed in favor of the public's right of access."). Generally, the statute requires a public body to disclose "government records," defining such records as:

any paper, written or printed book, document, drawing, map, plan, photograph, microfilm, data processed or image processed document, information stored or maintained electronically or by sound-recording or in a similar device, or any copy thereof, that has been made, maintained or kept on file in the course of his or

its official business ... or that has been received in the course of his or its official business.

N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.

However, a public body need not disclose a “government record” if that record falls into one of OPRA’s 21 one categories of exemptions from disclosure. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1. OPRA places the burden of proving an exemption on the public body who wishes to withhold a government record. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6.

In doing so, the public body’s custodian of record must state the “specific basis” for withholding a government record. Gannett N.J. Partners v. Middlesex, 379 N.J. Super. 205, 215 (App. Div. 2005). Moreover, the custodian “must produce specific reliable evidence sufficient” to prove their asserted “statutorily recognized” exception. Courier News v. Hunterdon County Prosecutor’s Office, 358 N.J. Super. 373, 382–83 (App. Div. 2003). Absent such a showing, a citizen’s right of access is unfettered. Ibid.

In evaluating a public body’s decision to withhold information, a court must determine: (1) if the information constitutes a government record; and (2) if the record evidence produced by the public body establishes an exception that shields the government record from disclosure.

### Analysis

Here, Defendants have redacted a number of email communications as exempt from disclosure for various reasons as set forth in the Vaughn indexes. See Exhibits A and B.

As to the redactions of personal email addresses and other contact information of Stockton University students and faculty to protect a citizen’s reasonable expectation of privacy, the court finds such redactions appropriate. See Exhibit A, Document Nos. 26, 45, 46, 52, 78; Exhibit B, Document Nos. 8, 73, 78, 79. The court also finds it appropriate for Defendants to withhold unresponsive documents generated due to the inclusion of “Martin Luther King, Jr.” as a search term. See Exhibit B, Document Nos. 73-79

As for the Defendants’ invocation of the “pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material” exemption, the court finds that actual edits to and draft versions of academic works are properly withheld from production; however, communications discussing the promulgation of reactions to the finished version are not exempt. See Exhibit A, Document Nos. 55, 81, 83, 88, 99, 134; Exhibit B, Document Nos. 19, 23, 38, 42. Nor are communications that merely convey the existence of such edits or drafts exempt from production. Exhibit A, Document Nos. 107 and 136; Exhibit B, Document Nos. 1-4, 13, 28, 46, 58. And the court does not find that documents reflecting communications regarding a response to a public request for proposals (RFP) meets the pedagogical or scholarly exemption. Exhibit B, Document No. 65.

Nevertheless, the majority of redactions were made by Defendants under the “inter-agency or intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material” exemption. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1. “The deliberative process privilege ‘permits the government to withhold documents that reflect advisory opinions, recommendations, and deliberations comprising part of a process by which governmental decisions and policies are formulated.’” Educ. Law Ctr. ex rel. Abbott v. N.J.

Dep't of Educ., 198 N.J. 274, 285 (2009) (quoting In re Liquidation of Integrity Ins. Co., 165 N.J. 75, 83 (2000)). New Jersey courts recognize the privilege “to ensure free and uninhibited communication within governmental agencies so that the best possible decisions can be reached...” Id. at 286 (citations omitted).

To establish this exemption, the records custodian must show (1) the document is “pre-decisional” or “generated before the adoption of an agency's policy or decision,” and (2) the document is “deliberative in nature, containing opinions, recommendations, or advice about agency policies.” Integrity, supra, 165 N.J. at 84-85.

Adopting the approach formulated by the USCA for the District of Columbia in Mapother v. Department of Justice, 3 F.3d 1533, 1535 (1993), the New Jersey Supreme Court has indicated that “the key to identifying deliberative material must be how closely the material ... relates to the ‘formulation or exercise of ... policy-oriented judgment or [to] the process by which policy is formulated.’” Educ. Law Ctr., supra, 198 N.J. at 295 (quoting Mapother, supra, 3 F.3d at 1539) (alteration and second omission in original). And the Court has adopted a 2-factor test.

The first factor is “whether the information sought is a part of the process leading to formulation of an agency's decision,” and the second factor is “the material’s ability to reflect or to expose the deliberative aspects of that process.” Educ. Law Ctr., supra, 198 N.J. at 295. The Court sought to protect “full and frank discussion of ideas when developing new policies, or in examining existing policies and procedures...” Id. “Allowing the public eye too far into the inner workings of policy-formulation ... could prevent the best possible decision from being reached.” McGee v. Twp. of E. Amwell, 416 N.J. Super. 602, 20 (App. Div. 2010) (citations omitted).

As set forth in Exhibits A and B, the court finds that many of the redactions asserted by Defendants in connection with this exemption include non-exempt communications. Because the court was required to review in excess of 200 documents, comprised of 1000+ pages, the court will not detail its determination for every document identified in Exhibits A and B as it believes that the determinations combined with the highlighted redactions are self-explanatory. Nevertheless, the court notes generally that the improperly redacted communications fail to meet the 2-factor test set forth in the Educ. Law Ctr. decision.

In most cases, the redacted information does not appear to be part of a process leading to formulation of an agency’s decision – particularly when those communications appear to be nothing more than comment on the Plaintiff’s response to Stockton’s report on the Walnut St. House. And because no actual “agency decision” is implicated by these various communications, the improperly redacted material does not have the ability to reflect or expose any deliberative aspects of Stockton’s receipt and acknowledgement of the Plaintiff’s criticisms, which do not appear to have a significant impact on the report itself.

The record shows Plaintiff was critical of Stockton’s involvement in the research which eventually led to the issuance of a report to the NJHPO finding the Walnut St. House historically insignificant. Plaintiff disagreed with Stockton’s conclusions, and he voiced his objection to individuals at Stockton, as well as members of the public. Many of the redacted communications relate to the Plaintiff’s criticisms and the reaction thereto by individuals employed with Stockton.



These communications constitute complaints and commiserations, not contemplative or deliberative processes underpinning a particular policy. As such, they do not meet any exemption enumerated in N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1, and they should have been produced in response to the Plaintiff's OPRA requests.

### Conclusion

In camera review of the Defendants' responses to the January and March 2020 OPRA requests reveals that Plaintiff is entitled to the production of unredacted versions of the Defendants' email communications as set forth in Exhibits A and B and the highlighted digital copies submitted to defense counsel only. Accordingly, the Defendants' motion to dismiss the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint is **DENIED**, and Defendants have 30 days to produce the unredacted documents Plaintiff.

The court further finds that the record supports the entry of judgment in favor of Plaintiff as to Count One of the Verified Complaint. In light of this determination, the Plaintiff's common law claims set forth in Count Two are dismissed as moot.

Because Plaintiff is the prevailing party in this matter, he is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs of suit. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6. On or before **April 28, 2023** Plaintiff's counsel shall file via e-courts an application for fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action. Defendants may submit a response thereto on or by **May 12, 2023**. The court will schedule a hearing on the Plaintiff's fee application once the submissions are received.

An appropriate order has been entered. Conformed copies accompany this Memorandum of Decision. The filing of the Order and this Memorandum on e-courts shall serve as service of same on all counsel of record.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SARAH BETH JOHNSON, J.S.C.



# EXHIBIT A

OPRA Request No. 010920				
Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
1	001	Email thread from Thomas Kinsella to Michelle McDonald, dated January 1, 2020. This document is contained within document #3.	See document #3.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
3	003-004	Email thread from Thomas Kinsella to Michelle McDonald, dated January 1, 2020, discussing proposed plan of action regarding press and public relations.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact
4	005-006	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Tk, dated January 1, 2020. This document is contained within document #3.	See document #3.	Same as above
7	010-011	Email from Michelle McDonald to Theresa Marinelli, dated January 10, 2018, discussing the process involved with an invoice.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; invoice document shall be produced; the 2nd attachment appears to be Stockton University logo; not necessary to produce
8	012-013	Email thread from Carisa Calabrese to Michelle McDonald & Kelly Oquist, dated January 10, 2018. This document is contained within document #7.	See document #7.	Same as above
9	014-015	Email thread from Andrea Tingey to Michelle McDonald, dated January 16, 2008. This document is contained within document #12.	See document #12.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; attachments appears to be various logos that appear elsewhere in document; not necessary to produce attachments
10	016-017	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Carisa Calabrese & Kelly Oquist, dated January 16, 2018. This document is contained within document #13.	See document #13.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; draft invoice document properly withheld; the 2nd attachment appears to be Stockton University logo; not necessary to produce
11	018-019	This is a duplicate of document #10.	N/A	Same as above
12	020-021	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Andrea Tingey, dated January 16, 2018, discussing proposed plans of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project and an invoice.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; attachments appears to be various logos that appear elsewhere in document; not necessary to produce attachments

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
13	022-023	Email thread from Carisa Calabrese to Michelle McDonald & Kelly Oquist, dated January 17, 2018, discussing a draft invoice.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009); <u>Ciesla v. N.J. Dep't of Health &amp; Sr. Servs.</u> , 429 N.J. Super. 127 (App. Div. 2012); <u>Libertarians for Transparent Gov't v. Gov't Records Council</u> , 453 N.J. Super. 83 (App. Div. 2018)	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; draft invoice document properly withheld; the 2nd attachment appears to be Stockon University logo; not necessary to produce
14	024-025	Email thread from John O'Hara to Dale Howell, dated January 19, 2018, discussing periodic reporting of scholarly activity.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Communications meet the exemption from production
15	026-027	Email thread from Brianna Cardinale to John O'Hara, dated February 11, 2018. This document is contained within document #21.	See document #21.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; student email address properly redacted
16	028-029	Email thread from Brianna to John O'Hara, dated February 11, 2018. This document is contained within document #21.	See document #21.	Same as above
17	030-031	Email thread from John O'Hara to Brianna, dated February 11, 2018. This document is contained within document #21.	See document #21.	Same as above
18	032	Email thread from John O'Hara to Brianna, dated February 11, 2018. This document is contained within document #21.	See document #21.	Same as above
19	033-034	Email thread from Thomas Chester to Michelle McDonald, dated February 12, 2018, discussing a proposed course of action to an OPRA request.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Communications meet the exemption from production
20	036-036	Email thread from Brianna Cardinale to John O'Hara, dated February 13, 2018. This document is contained within document #21.	See document #21.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; student email address properly redacted

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
21	038-040	Email thread from John O'Hara to Brianna Cardinale, dated February 13, 2018, discussing academic advising of a Stockton student and proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted email address and student records, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; redacted intra- agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
22	041-042	Email thread from John O'Hara to Brianna Cardinale, dated February 13, 2018. This document is contained within document #21.	See document #21.	Same as above
23	043-044	Email from Michelle McDonald discussing proposed course of action to request for itemized expense list for research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact
26	049	Email from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated February 23, 2018 with no communication.	Redacted state employee's personal email address to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.	Personal email address properly redacted; attachment to be produced
27	050	Email from Jessica Brown to John O'Hara, dated February 25, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public commentary on Stockton's research project.	Redacted email address and student records, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; redacted intra- agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; student email address properly redacted
28	051	Email from John O'Hara to Jessica Brown, dated February 25, 2018. This document is contained within document #27.	See document #27.	Same as above
29	052-053	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul & Andrea Tingey, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained in Document #33.	See document #33.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; attachment appears to be Stockon University logo; not necessary to produce
30	54	Email from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald & Robert Gregg, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #29.	See document #29.	Same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
31	055-056	Email thread from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald & Robert Gregg, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #38.	See document #38.	Same as above
32	057-058	Email from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald dated February 26, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
33	059-061	Email thread from Kate Marcopul to Michelle McDonald & Andrea Tingey dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #36.	See document #36.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; attachment appears to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); not necessary to produce
34	062-063	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul & Andrea Tingey, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #33.	See document #33.	Same as above
35	064-065	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #32.	See document #32.	Same as above
36	066-068	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul & Andrea Tingey, dated February 26, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
37	069-070	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico & Robert Gregg, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #31.	See document #31.	Same as above
38	071-072	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico & Robert Gregg, dated February 26, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
39	073-075	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul & Andrea Tingey, copying Larry Hajna, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document 42.	See document #42.	Same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
40	76	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico & Robert Gregg, dated February 26, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
41	077-080	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Harvey Kesselman & Michael Angulo, copying Diane D'Amico & Susan Davenport, discussing proposed courses of action regarding a contract.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; contract document attachment should be produced if not previously
42	081-085	Email thread from Larry Hajna to McDonald dated February 27, 2018. This document is contained within document #43.	See document #43.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; attachment appears to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); not necessary to produce
43	086-090	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Larry Hajna, dated February 27, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
45	092-093	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Michelle McDonald, dated March 2' 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public commentary on Stockton's research project.	Redacted state employee's personal email address to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Personal email address properly redacted; redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact
46	94	Email from Bill Kelly to Plaintiff, "Phillynews" & Harvery Kesselman, dated March 3, 2018, sending a weblink	Redacted state employee's personal email address to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1	Personal email address properly redacted
50	099-100	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico, copying Geoffrey Pettifer, Susan Davenport, dated April 18, 2018. This document is contained within document #54.	See document #54.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
52	103	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated April 18, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted state employee's personal email address to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Personal email address properly redacted; redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
53	104	Email from John O'Hara to Michelle McDonald & Paul Schopp, dated April 18, 2018. This document contained within document #52.	See document #52.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
54	105-107	Email thread from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald, copying Geoffrey Pettifer & Susan Davenport, dated April 18, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; attachment appears to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); not necessary to produce
55	108-109	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp & John O'Hara, dated April 18, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; attachment appears to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); not necessary to produce
56	110	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Harvey Kesselman, dated April 19, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact
57	111-112	Email thread from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald, dated April 25, 2018. This document is contained in document #61.	See document #61.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; attachment appears to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); not necessary to produce
58	113-116	Email thread from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald, dated April 25, 2018. This document is contained in document #61.	See document #61.	Same as above
59	117-119	Email thread from Diane D'Amico to Michelle McDonald dated April 25, 2018. This document is contained within document #61.	See document #61.	Same as above



Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
60	120-122	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico dated April 25, 2018. This document is contained within document #61.	See document #61.	Same as above
61	123-126	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico, dated April 25, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
62	127-128	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Diane D'Amico dated April 25, 2018. This document is contained within document #61.	See document #61.	Same as above
63	129-137	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Carisa Calabrese & Kelly Oquist, dated May 22, 2018, discussing proposed course of action on processing an invoice.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009); <u>Ciesla v. N.J. Dep't of Health &amp; Sr. Servs.</u> , 429 N.J. Super. 127 (App. Div. 2012); <u>Libertarians for Transparent Gov't v. Gov't Records Council</u> , 453 N.J. Super. 83 (App. Div. 2018)	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
64	138-139	Email from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, dated August 22, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public commentary on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
65	140-141	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, dated August 22, 2018. This document is contained within document #64.	See document # 64.	Same as above
66	142-143	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, dated August 22, 2018. This document is contained within document #64.	See document # 64.	Same as above
67	144-145	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Susan Davenport, dated September 5, 2019. This document is contained in document #71.	See document # 71.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
68	146-147	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Geoffrey Pettifer & Diane D'Amico, dated September 5, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
69	148-149	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp & John O'Hara, dated September 5, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
70	150-151	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated September 5, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
71	152-153	Email thread from Susan Davenport to Michelle McDonald, dated September 5, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact
78	163-164	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara and Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted state employee's personal cellphone number to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Personal mobile phone number properly redacted; redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
79	165-166	This is a duplicate of document #78.	N/A	Same as above
81	168-169	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
82	170-171	This is a duplicate of document #81.	N/A	Same as above
83	172-180	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing the status of the research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Documents produced on 12/18/17 in connection with GRC determination are acceptable

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
86	185-186	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Documents produced on 12/18/17 in connection with GRC determination are acceptable
87	187-190	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #88.	See document #88.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachments appears to be Stockton University logo & Facebook "like" image that appear elsewhere in document; not necessary to produce attachments
88	191-195	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
89	196-198	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Plaintiff, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #94.	See document #94.	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
90	199-202	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Plaintiff, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #94.	See document #94.	Same as above
91	203-205	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Plaintiff, dated December 1, 2017. document is contained within document #92.	See document #92.	Same as above
92	206-210	Email from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Documents produced on 12/18/17 in connection with GRC determination are acceptable

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
93	211-215	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #94.	See document #94.	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
94	216-221	Email from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Documents produced on 12/18/17 in connection with GRC determination are acceptable
95	222-225	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017. This document is included in document #92.	See document #92.	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
96	226-229	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #88.	See document #88.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachments appears to be Stockton University logo & Facebook "like" image that appear elsewhere in document; not necessary to produce attachments
97	230-232	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #88.	See document #88.	Same as above
99	235-237	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald & John O'Hara, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
100	238-240	This is a duplicate of document #99	N/A	Same as above
101	241-243	This is a duplicate of document #99	N/A	Same as above
106	248-249	Email thread from Harvey Kesselman to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
107	250-251	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated December 2, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach to Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment produced with 030520-01 production, Document 46
112	260-265	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 2, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
113	266-268	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 5, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact
114	269-271	This is a duplicate of document #113.	N/A	Same as above
115	272-275	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 5, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
116	276-279	This is a duplicate of document #115.	N/A	Same as above
117	280-281	Email thread from Michael Angulo to Robert Heinrich & Cynthia Frazier, copying Thomas Chester & Brian Kowalski, dated December 6, 2017, discussing a proposed course of action in responding to a specific OPRA request.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production; attachments appear to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); production not necessary
118	282-283	This is a duplicate of document #117.	N/A	Same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
119	284-285	Email thread from Robert Heinrich to Cynthia Frazier, copying Thomas Chester, Brian Kowalski & Michael Angulo, dated December 6, 2017. This document is contained within document #117.	See document #117.	Same as above
120	286-289	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Plaintiff, dated December 6, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
121	290-293	This is a duplicate of document #120.	N/A	Same as above
122	294	Email from Michael Angulo to Michelle McDonald & Diane D'Amico, copying Susan Davenport, Kathryn Mason & Thomas Chester, dated December 7, 2017. This document is contained within document #127.	See document #117.	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production; attachments appear to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); production not necessary
123	295-299	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul, copying Michael Angulo, dated December 8, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredact; attachments appear to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); production not necessary
124	300-304	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, Paul Schopp Thomas Kinsella & Robert Gregg, dated December 8' 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
125	305-309	This is a duplicate of document #124.	N/A	Same as above
126	310-314	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated December 8, 2017. This document is contained in document #133.	See document #133.	Same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
127	315-316	Email thread from Michael Angulo to Michelle McDonald, dated December 8, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production; attachments appear to be Stockton University and/or other logo(s); production not necessary
128	317-318	Email thread from Kathryn Mason to Michael Angulo, Michelle McDonald & Diane D'Amico, copying Susan Davenport & Thomas Chester, dated December 8, 2017. This document is contained in document #127.	See document #127.	Same as above
129	319-320	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kathryn Mason, Michael Angulo & Diane D'Amico, copying Susan Davenport, Thomas Chester, dated December 8, 2017. This document is contained in document #127.	See document #127.	Same as above
130	321-324	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Plaintiff, dated December 8, 2017, discussing an OPRA request. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
131	325-329	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, dated December 8, 2017. This document is contained within document #130.	See document #130.	Same as above
133	332-336	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 9, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's. research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted



Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
134	337	Email from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 9, 2017, discussing draft research material.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material, intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, and draft material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009); <u>Ciesla v. N.J. Dep't of Health &amp; Sr. Servs.</u> , 429 N.J. Super. 127 (App. Div. 2012); <u>Libertarians for Transparent Gov't v. Gov't Records Council</u> , 453 N.J. Super. 83 (App. Div. 2018)	Same as above
135	338	This is a duplicate of document #134.	N/A	Same as above
136	339	Email from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul, copying Andrea Tingey, dated December 9, 2017, discussing draft research material.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material, intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, and draft material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009); <u>Ciesla v. N.J. Dep't of Health &amp; Sr. Servs.</u> , 429 N.J. Super. 127 (App. Div. 2012); <u>Libertarians for Transparent Gov't v. Gov't Records Council</u> , 453 N.J. Super. 83 (App. Div. 2018)	Same as above
137	340	Email from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 12, 2017, discussing status of research project and proposed course of action to an OPRA request.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
138	341	This is a duplicate of document #137.	N/A	Same as above
140	343-344	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp & John O'hara, dated December 13, 2019. This document is contained within document #141	See document #141	Same as above
141	345-346	Email thread from John O'Hara to Michelle McDonald, copying Paul Schopp, dated December 13, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
143	348	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Stacey Clapp, copying Susan Davenport & Geoffrey Pettifer, dated December 17, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
144	349	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp & John O'hara, dated December 13, 2019. This document is contained within document #143.	See document #143	Same as above
146	351-352	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul & Andrea Tingey, dated December 19, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
147	353	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Kate Marcopul, dated December 19, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
148	354-355	Email thread from Harvey Kesselman to Michelle McDonald, Carra Hood, Lori Vermeulen & Robert Gregg, copying Susan Davenport, dated December 19, 2017. This document is contained within document #153.	See document #153.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
149	356-357	This is a duplicate of document #148.	N/A	Same as above
150	358-359	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Harvey Kesselman, Carra Hood, Lori Veermeulen & Robert Gregg, dated December 19, 2007. This document is contained within document #153.	See document #153.	Same as above
153	364-366	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Harvey Kesselman, Carra Hood, Lori Vermeulen & Robert Gregg, copying Susan Davenport, dated December 19, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
154	367-369	This is a duplicate of document #153.	N/A	Same as above
157	374-375	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Harvey Kesselman, Carra Hood, Lori Vermeulen & Robert Gregg, dated December 19, 2017. This document is contained within document #153.	See document #153.	Same as above

<b>Doc #</b>	<b>Bates-Stamp</b>	<b>Description of Document</b>	<b>Privileges/Exemptions Asserted</b>	<b>Determination</b>
164	386-388	Email thread from Lori Vermeulen to Email thread from Lori Vermeulen to Michelle McDonald dated December 20, 2017. This document is contained within document #166.	See document #166.	Same as above
165	389-391	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Lori Vermeulen, dated December 20, 2017. This document is contained within document #166.	See document #166.	Same as above
166	392-394	Email thread from Lori Vermeulen to Michelle McDonald dated December 20, 2017, discussing the research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
167	395	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Elizabeth Masterson, dated December 22, 2017, discussing proposed correspondence.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; cover letter document attachments shall be produced

# EXHIBIT B

OPRA Request No. 030520				
Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
1	001-002	Email from Thomas Kinsella to Paul Schopp, dated January 2, 2018. This document is contained within document	See document #2	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment properly withheld
2	003-004 and 005-006	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated January 8, 2018, discussing an unrelated research project,	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment properly withheld
3	007-008	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated January 8, 2018, discussing an unrelated research project,	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
4	025	Email from Thomas Kinsella to Paul Schopp, dated February 2, 2018, discussing an unrelated research project,	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; attachment properly withheld
8	041-042	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated February 23, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public commentary on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; personal email addresses properly redacted; attachments shall be produced
9	043	Email thread from John O'Hara to Paul Schopp, dated February 26, 2018. This document is contained within document #10.	See document #10	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; student email address properly redacted
10	044	Email thread from Paul Schopp to John O'Hara, dated February 26, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public commentary on Stockton's research project.	Redacted student records, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; redacted intra- agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
13	047	Email from Paul Schopp to Paul Schopp, dated March 1, 2019, forwarding draft edits to an unrelated research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and draft material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Ciesla v. N.J. Dep't of Health &amp; Sr. Servs.</u> , 429 N.J. Super. 127 (App. Div. 2012); <u>Libertarians for Transparent Gov't v. Gov't Records Council</u> , 453 N.J. Super. 83 (App. Div. 2018)	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; attachment properly withheld

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
17	078-079	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp and John O'Hara, dated April 18, 2018, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
18	081	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Paul Schopp, dated April 20, 2019. This document is contained within document	See document #19.	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment properly withheld
19	093	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Paul Schopp, dated May 25, 2019, discussing an unrelated research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
20	223-224	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated September 5, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
22	369	Email from Thomas Kinsella to Paul Schopp, dated November 1, 2019, discussing research materials.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Communication and attachment meet the exemption from production
23	484-488	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara and Paul Schopp, copying Thomas Kinsella and Robert Gregg, dated November 30, 2017, discussing the status of the research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Partially redacted documents produced on 12/18/17 in connection with GRC determination are acceptable
24	489-493	This is a duplicate of document #23.	N/A	Same as above
25	494-495	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017. This document is contained within document #28.	See document #28	Communications meet the exemption from production
26	496-501	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017. This document is contained within document #37.	See document #37	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
27	502-504	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017. This document is contained within document #28.	See document #28	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
28	505-507	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017, discussing their draft edits and research for the project	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, and draft material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009); <u>Ciesla v. N.J. Dep't of Health &amp; Sr. Servs.</u> , 429 N.J. Super. 127 (App. Div. 2012); <u>Libertarians for Transparent Gov't v. Gov't Records Council</u> , 453 N.J. Super. 83 (App. Div. 2018)	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
29	508-509	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017. This document is contained within document #28.	See document #28	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
30	510-512	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017. This document is contained within document #28.	See document #28	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
31	513-514	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated November 30, 2017. This document is contained within document #28.	See document #28	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
32	515-516	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara and Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
33	517-518	This is a duplicate to document #33.	N/A	Appears to be duplicate of document #32; redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
34	519-521	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #38.	See document #38	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment appears to be Stockton University logo; not necessary to produce



Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
35	522-523	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #42.	See document #42	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
36	524-525	This is a duplicate to document #36.	N/A	Appears to be duplicate of document #35; redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
37	526-534	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing the status of the research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Partially redacted documents produced on 12/18/17 in connection with GRC determination are acceptable
38	535-539	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachments appears to be Stockton University logo & Facebook "like" image that appear elsewhere in document; not necessary to produce attachments
39	540-543	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #38.	See document #38	Same as above
40	544-547	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #38.	See document #38	Same as above
41	548-550	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 1, 2017. This document is contained within document #38.	See document #38	Same as above
42	551-553	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald & John O'Hara, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
43	554-556	This is a duplicate of document #43.	N/A	Appears to be duplicate of document #42; same as above
44	557-559	This is a duplicate of document #43.	N/A	Appears to be duplicate of document #42; same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
46	563-564	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated December 2, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment shall be produced
48	573-575	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, Paul Schopp, dated December 5, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment appears to be Stockton University logo; not necessary to produce attachment
49	576-578	This is a duplicate of document #48.	N/A	Same as above
50	579-582	Email thread from Plaintiff to Michelle McDonald, John O'Hara, Paul Schopp, dated December 5, 2017. This document is contained within document #48.	See document #48	Redacted communications meet the exemption from production
51	583-586	This is a duplicate of document #50.	N/A	Same as above
52	587-590	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Plaintiff & John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated 12/6/17, discussing public outreach on Stockton's research project. Sole redaction is in the last email of this thread, from Cynthia Frazier to Michelle McDonald, dated December 1, 2017, discussing proposed course of action to an OPRA request, was inadvertently released to Plaintiff.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
53	591-594	This is a duplicate of document #52.	N/A	Same as above
54	595-599	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp & Thomas Kinsella & Robert Gregg, dated December 8, 2017. This document is contained within document #57.	See document #57	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; attachment appears to be Stockton University logo; not necessary to produce attachment
55	600-604	This is a duplicate of document #54.	N/A	Same as above
56	605-609	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Michelle McDonald, dated December 8, 2017. This document is contained within document	See document #57	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
57	610-614	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp, dated December 9, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
58	615-617	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp & John O'Hara, dated December 9, 2017, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's research project.	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
59	618-620	This is a duplicate of document #58.	N/A	Same as above
60	621-622	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara, dated December 9, 2017. This document is contained within document #58.	See document #58	Same as above
61	623-624	This is a duplicate of document #60.	N/A	Same as above
62	625-626	This is a duplicate of document #60.	N/A	Same as above
63	627	Email from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 9, 2017. This document is contained within document #58.	See document #58	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted; unclear if attachment produced; however, it appears to have been authored by Plaintiff; production not necessary
64	628	This is a duplicate of document #63.	N/A	Same as above
65	634-637	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Bob Craig, copying Kate Marcopul, Andrea Tingey, Doug McVarish, Paul Schopp and John O'Hara, dated December 12, 2016, discussing a research proposal submitted and proposed course of action relating to the	Redacted pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research material and intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which are exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all
66	648	Email from Michelle McDonald to John O'Hara & Paul Schopp, dated December 12, 2017, discussing the status of the research project and the proposed plan of action regarding an OPRA request.	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Redactions include non-exempt communications; partially unredacted
67	649	This is a duplicate of document #66.	N/A	Same as above

Doc #	Bates-Stamp	Description of Document	Privileges/Exemptions Asserted	Determination
68	650-651	Email thread from Michelle McDonald to Paul Schopp and John O'Hara, dated December 13, 2019. This document is contained within document #69.	See document #69	Same as above
69	652-653	Email thread from John O'Hara to Michelle McDonald, copying Paul Schopp, dated December 13, 2019, discussing proposed plan of action regarding public outreach on Stockton's	Redacted intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1; <u>Educ. Law. Ctr. v. N.J. Dep't of Educ.</u> , 198 N.J. 274 (2009).	Same as above
71	657	Email from Paul Schopp to Marieann Bannan, dated December 22, 2016, regarding Paul Schopp' self-evaluation.	Redacted personnel material, which is exempt from disclosure pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-10	Redactions include non-exempt communications; unredact all; resume document only shall be produced; other attachment properly withheld
72	020-021	Email thread from Thomas Kinsella to Samuel Still, Paul Schopp, copying Carol Marshall, Clay Khenya, dated January 25, 2020. This document is contained within document #73.	See document #73	Non-responsive document; exempt from production
73	022-024	Email thread from Samuel Still to Thomas Kinsella, copying Paul Schopp, Carol Marshall, Clay Khenya, dated January 25, 2020, discussing a request for Stockton students to perform a task.	Redacted personal email addresses to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; redacted non-responsive records as this email populated from the search due to a tagline at the bottom of Samuel Still's email that references Martin Luther King Jr.	Same as above
74	340-342 355	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated October 5, 2018. This document is contained within document #78.	See document #78	Same as above
75	343-344	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated October 5, 2018. This document is contained within document #79.	See document #79	Same as above
76	345-347	Email thread from Thomas Kinsella to Paul Schopp, dated October 5, 2018. This document is contained within document #78.	See document #78	Same as above

<b>Doc #</b>	<b>Bates-Stamp</b>	<b>Description of Document</b>	<b>Privileges/Exemptions Asserted</b>	<b>Determination</b>
77	348-349	Email thread from Thomas Kinsella to Paul Schopp, dated October 5, 2018. This document is contained within document #79.	See document #79	Same as above
78	350-352	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated October 5, 2018, discussing locating a check used for a payment.	Redacted personal email addresses to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; redacted non-responsive records as this email populated from the search due to a tagline at the bottom of Samuel Still's email that references Martin Luther King Jr.	Same as above
79	353-355	Email thread from Paul Schopp to Thomas Kinsella, dated October 5, 2018, discussing locating a check used for a payment.	Redacted personal email addresses to protect a citizen's reasonable expectation of privacy, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; redacted non-responsive records as this email populated from the search due to a tagline at the bottom of Samuel Still's email that references Martin Luther King Jr.	Same as above